

## AUTOMORPHISMS AND DERIVATIONS ON THE CENTER OF A RING

V.K.BHAT

*School of Mathematics,  
SMVD University,  
P/o Kakryal, Katra, J and K,  
India- 182320  
vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma_1$  an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta_1$  a  $\sigma_1$ -derivation of  $R$ . Let  $\sigma_2$  be an automorphism of  $O_1(R) = R[x; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$ , and  $\delta_2$  be a  $\sigma_2$ -derivation of  $O_1(R)$ . Let  $S \subseteq Z(O_1(R))$ , the center of  $O_1(R)$ . Then it is proved that  $\sigma_i$  is identity when restricted to  $S$ , and  $\delta_i$  is zero when restricted to  $S$ ;  $i = 1, 2$ . The result is proved for iterated extensions also.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A ring  $R$  means an associative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .  $Z(R)$  denotes the center of  $R$ . The set of positive integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A$  be a nonempty set and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  be a map and  $B \subseteq A$ . Then  $\alpha|_B$  means  $\alpha$  restricted to  $B$ .

In this paper we investigate the nature of an automorphism  $\sigma$  and a  $\sigma$ -derivation  $\delta$  of a ring  $R$ , when restricted to the center of  $R$ .

Recall that a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  is an additive map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  such that

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b), \text{ for all } a, b \in R.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  any map. Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow M_2(R)$  be a homomorphism defined by

$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(r) & 0 \\ \delta(r) & r \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

Then  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ .

In case  $\sigma$  is the identity map,  $\delta$  is called just a derivation of  $R$ . For example let  $F$  be a field and  $R = F[x]$ . Then the formal derivative  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is a derivation of  $R$ .

Recall that the Ore extension  $R[x; \sigma, \delta] = \{f = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , subject to the relation  $ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . We take coefficients on the right as followed in McConnell and Robson [13]. Some authors take coefficients on the left as in Goodearl and Warfield [7]. We denote the Extension ring  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by  $O_1(R)$ . In case  $\sigma$  is the identity map, we denote  $R[x; \delta]$  by  $D_1(R)$  and in case  $\delta$  is the zero map, we denote  $R[x; \sigma]$  by  $S_1(R)$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16-XX; Secondary 16S36,16P40,16P50,16U20.

*Key words and phrases.* Center; automorphism;  $\sigma$ -derivation; ore extension.

We also recall that the skew-Laurent extension  $R[x, x^{-1}; \sigma] = \{\sum_{i=-m}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R; m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where multiplication is subject to the relation  $ax = x\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .

The rings that we deal with are the above mentioned rings and their iterations as given below:

- (1)  $S_t(R) = R[x_1; \sigma_1][x_2; \sigma_2] \dots [x_t; \sigma_t]$ , the iterated skew-polynomial ring, where each  $\sigma_i$  is an automorphism of  $S_{i-1}(R)$ .
- (2)  $L_t(R) = R[x_1, x_1^{-1}; \sigma_1][x_2, x_2^{-1}; \sigma_2] \dots [x_t, x_t^{-1}; \sigma_t]$ , the iterated skew-Laurent polynomial ring, where each  $\sigma_i$  is an automorphism of  $L_{i-1}(R)$ .
- (3)  $D_t(R) = R[x_1; \delta_1][x_2; \delta_2] \dots [x_t; \delta_t]$ , the iterated differential operator ring, where each  $\delta_i$  is a derivation of  $D_{i-1}(R)$ .
- (4)  $O_t(R) = R[x_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1][x_2; \sigma_2, \delta_2] \dots [x_t; \sigma_t, \delta_t]$ , the iterated Ore extension, where  $\sigma_i$  is an automorphism of  $O_{i-1}(R)$  and  $\delta_i$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -derivation of  $O_{i-1}(R)$ .

We note that if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ , then  $\sigma$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by taking  $\sigma(x) = x$ , i.e.  $\sigma(xa) = x\sigma(a)$ , for all  $a \in R$ . Also  $\delta$  can be extended to a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by taking  $\delta(x) = 0$ , i.e.  $\delta(xa) = x\delta(a)$ , for all  $a \in R$ .

In view of this, we note that each  $\sigma_i$  is an automorphism of  $S_t(R)$  and  $O_t(R)$ . Also each  $\delta_i$  is a derivation (respectively  $\sigma$ -derivation) of  $D_t(R)$  (respectively  $O_t(R)$ ).

## 2. AUTOMORPHISMS AND DERIVATIONS

We prove the following:

- (1) Let  $L \subseteq Z(K_t(R))$ , where  $K_t(R)$  is any of  $S_t(R)$  or  $L_t(R)$ . Then  $\sigma_i | L$  is the identity map; for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .
- (2) Let  $T \subseteq Z(D_t(R))$ , where  $R$  is an integral domain. Then  $\delta_i | T$  is the zero map; for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .
- (3) Let  $S \subseteq Z(O_t(R))$ . Then  $\delta_i | S$  is the identity map, and  $\sigma_i | S$  is the zero map; for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .

For more details on Ore extensions, and the basic results, the reader is referred to chapters (1) and (2) of [7]. Ore-extensions including skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings have been of interest to many authors. For example [1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Prime ideals (in particular minimal prime ideals and associated prime ideals) of these extensions have been characterized in [1, 4, 6, 14].

Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be 2-primal if the prime radical (i.e. the intersection of prime ideals of  $R$ ) coincides with the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ . This property has been discussed in [2, 3, 12].

We begin with the following Proposition:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Then  $\sigma | Z(R)$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $a \in Z(R)$  implies that  $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$ . Let  $a \in Z(R)$  and  $r \in R$ . Then  $\sigma(a)r = \sigma(a\sigma^{-1}(r)) = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(r)a) = r\sigma(a)$  Therefore,  $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$ .  $\square$

We now have the following proposition which is used to prove Proposition (2.5) and Theorem (2.6).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then  $O_1(R)$  is an integral domain.*

*Proof.* The proof is easy. We give a sketch. Let  $f, g \in O_1(R)$  be such that  $fg = 0$ . Say  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$ , and  $g = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i b_i$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $g \neq 0$ .

To prove the result, we use induction on  $m, n$ . For  $m = n = 0$ , the result is trivial. For  $m = n = 1$ , we have  $f = xa_1 + a_0$  and  $g = xb_1 + b_0$ . Now  $fg = 0$  implies that

$$x[x\sigma(a_1) + \delta(a_1)]b_1 + [x\sigma(a_0) + \delta(a_0)]b_1 + xa_1b_0 + a_0b_0 = 0;$$

i.e.

$$x^2\sigma(a_1)b_1 + x\delta(a_1)b_1 + x\sigma(a_0)b_1 + xa_1b_0 + \delta(a_0)b_1 + a_0b_0 = 0,$$

and so we have  $\sigma(a_1)b_1 = 0$ ,  $\delta(a_1)b_1 + \sigma(a_0)b_1 + a_0b_0 = 0$ ,  $\delta(a_0)b_1 + a_0b_0 = 0$ . Now  $g \neq 0$ . Therefore, there are three possibilities:

- (1)  $b_1 \neq 0, b_0 \neq 0$ . In this case  $\sigma(a_1)b_1 = 0$  implies that  $\sigma(a_1) = 0$ ; i.e.  $a_1 = 0$ . Now  $\delta(a_1)b_1 + \sigma(a_0)b_1 + a_1b_0 = 0$  implies that  $\sigma(a_0)b_1 = 0$ . Therefore  $\sigma(a_0) = 0$ ; i.e.  $a_0 = 0$ . Thus  $f = 0$ .
- (2)  $b_1 \neq 0, b_0 = 0$ . This could be treated similarly as above.
- (3)  $b_1 = 0, b_0 \neq 0$ . In this case  $\delta(a_1)b_1 + \sigma(a_0)b_1 + a_1b_0 = 0$  implies that  $a_1b_0 = 0$ , and therefore,  $a_1 = 0$ . Also  $\delta(a_0)b_1 + a_0b_0 = 0$  implies that  $a_0b_0 = 0$ , and so  $a_0 = 0$ . Thus  $f = 0$ . So, in all cases we have  $f = 0$ .

Therefore, the result is true for  $m = n = 1$ . Suppose the result is true for  $m = k$  and  $n = 1$ . We shall prove for  $m = k + 1$ . Now for  $m = k + 1$  and  $n = 1$ ,  $fg = 0$  implies that

$$(x^{k+1}a_{k+1} + x^k a_k + \dots + a_0)(xb_1 + b_0) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$x^{k+2}\sigma(a_{k+1})b_1 + x^{k+1}\delta(a_{k+1})b_1 + x^{k+1}\sigma(a_k)b_1 + x^{k+1}a_{k+1}b_0 + \dots + x\sigma(a_0)b_1 + \delta(a_0)b_1 + a_0b_0 = 0.$$

Now for  $g \neq 0$ , there are three possibilities:

- (1)  $b_1 \neq 0, b_0 \neq 0$ . In this case  $\sigma(a_{k+1})b_1 = 0$  implies that  $\sigma(a_{k+1}) = 0$ ; i.e.  $a_{k+1} = 0$ . Therefore  $fg = 0$  reduces to  $(x^k a_k + x^{k-1} a_{k-1} + \dots + a_0)(xb_1 + b_0) = 0$ , and induction hypothesis implies that  $f = 0$ .
- (2)  $b_1 \neq 0, b_0 = 0$ . This could be treated similarly as above.
- (3)  $b_1 = 0, b_0 \neq 0$ . In this case  $\delta(a_{k+1})b_1 + \sigma(a_k)b_1 + a_{k+1}b_0 = 0$  implies that  $a_{k+1}b_0 = 0$ , and therefore,  $a_{k+1} = 0$ . Therefore  $fg = 0$  reduces to  $(x^k a_k + x^{k-1} a_{k-1} + \dots + a_0)(xb_1 + b_0) = 0$ , and induction hypothesis implies that  $f = 0$ .

Therefore, in all the cases  $f = 0$ . In a similar way the result could be proved for higher degrees of  $g$ . Hence  $O_1(R)$  is an integral domain.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and consider  $S_t(R)$ . Let  $L \subseteq S_t(R)$ . Then  $\sigma_i | L$  is the identity map for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $S_1(R)$  and its automorphism  $\sigma_2$ . Let  $a \in L$ . Now  $af_1 = f_1a$  for all  $f_1 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_1^i b_i \in S_1(R)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$a(x_1^n b_n + \dots + b_0) = (x_1^n b_n + \dots + b_0)a.$$

So we have

$$(x_1^n \sigma_1^n(a) b_n + \dots + x_1 \sigma_1(a) b_1 + ab_0 = (x_1^n b_n a + \dots + x_1 b_1 a + b_0 a).$$

Therefore  $\sigma_1(a) = a$ .

Now consider  $S_2(R)$  and its automorphism  $\sigma_3$ . Let  $a \in L$ . Then  $af_2 = f_2a$  for all  $f_2 \in S_2(R)$ . Let  $f_2 = x_2^k f_k + \dots + x_2 f_1 + f_0$ , where  $f_i \in S_1(R)$ . Then  $af_2 = f_2a$  implies that

$$a(x_2^k f_k + \dots + x_2 f_1 + f_0) = (x_2^k f_k + \dots + x_2 f_1 + f_0)a;$$

i.e.

$$x_2^k \sigma_2^k(a) f_k + \dots + x_2 \sigma_2(a) f_1 + af_0 = x_2^k f_k a + \dots + x_2 f_1 a + f_0 a.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_2(a) f_1 = f_1 a = a f_1$  as  $a \in Z(S_2(R))$ . Hence  $\sigma_2(a) = a$ . With the same process, we can see that  $\sigma_i | L$  is the identity map for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* The above result holds if  $S_t(R)$  is replaced by  $L_t(R)$ , and the proof follows on the same lines.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and consider  $D_t(R)$ . If  $T \subseteq Z(D_t(R))$ . Then  $\delta_i | T$  is the zero map, for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in T$ . Consider  $D_1(R)$ . Let  $f_1 = x_1 b + c$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $af_1 = f_1 a$ ; i.e.  $a(x_1 b + c) = (x_1 b + c)a$ , which implies that

$$x_1 ab + \delta_1(a)b + ac = x_1 ba + ca.$$

Now  $a \in Z(D_1(R))$  implies that  $\delta_1(a)b + ac = ca = ac$ , and  $\delta_1(a)b = 0$ . Thus  $\delta_1(a) = 0$ . Polynomials of higher degree could be treated in a similar way.

Now consider  $D_2(R)$ . Let  $f_2 = x_2 g_1 + g_0$ , where  $g_1 \neq 0$ ;  $g_1, g_0 \in D_1(R)$ . Then  $af_2 = f_2 a$  implies that

$$a(x_2 g_1 + g_0) = (x_2 g_1 + g_0)a,$$

or,

$$x_2 ag_1 + \delta_2(a)g_1 + ag_0 = x_2 g_1 a + g_0 a.$$

Now  $a \in Z(D_2(R))$  implies that

$$\delta_2(a)g_1 + ag_0 = g_0 a = ag_0.$$

Therefore  $\delta_2(a)g_1 = 0$ , and so Proposition (2.2) implies that  $\delta_2(a) = 0$ . With the same process it can be shown that  $\delta_i | T$  is the zero map, for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and consider  $O_t(R)$ . If  $S \subseteq Z(O_t(R))$ , then  $\sigma_i | S$  is the identity map and  $\delta_i | S$  is the zero map, for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in S$ . Let  $f_1 = x_1 b + c \in O_1(R)$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $af_1 = f_1 a$ , and we have  $a(x_1 b + c) = (x_1 b + c)a$ , which implies that

$$x_1 \sigma_1(a)b + \delta_1(a)b + ac = x_1 ba + ca.$$

Therefore  $\sigma_1(a)b = ba = ab$  as  $a \in Z(O_t(R))$ . So we have  $\sigma_1(a) = a$ . Also,  $\delta_1(a)b + ac = ca = ac$ . Thus  $\delta_1(a)b = 0$ , and so  $\delta_1(a) = 0$ . Polynomials of higher degree can be treated similarly.

Now let  $f_2 = x_2 g_1 + g_0 \in O_2(R)$ ,  $g_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $af_2 = f_2 a$  implies that

$$a(x_2 g_1 + g_0) = (x_2 g_1 + g_0)a.$$

Therefore

$$x_2\sigma_2(a)g_1 + \delta_2(a)g_1 + ag_0 = x_2g_1a + g_0a$$

Now  $a \in Z(O_t(R))$  implies that

$$x_2\sigma_2(a)g_1 = g_1a + ag_1.$$

Thus  $\sigma_2(a) = a$ . Also  $\delta_2(a)g_1 + ag_0 = g_0a = ag_0$  as  $a \in Z(O_t(R))$ . Therefore  $\delta_2(a)g_1 = 0$  and thus Proposition (2.2) implies that  $\delta_2(a) = 0$ . Polynomials of higher degree can be treated similarly.

With the same process it can be shown that  $\sigma_i | S$  is the identity map for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $\delta_i | S$  is the zero map for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Annin, Associated primes over skew polynomial rings. *Comm. Algebra*, Vol. 30 (2002), 2511-2528.
- [2] V. K. Bhat, On 2-primal Ore extensions, *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, Vol. 4(2) (2007), 173-179.
- [3] V. K. Bhat, Differential operator rings over 2-primal rings, *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, Vol. 5(2) (2008), 153-158.
- [4] V. K. Bhat, Associated prime ideals of skew polynomial rings, *Beitrge Algebra Geom.*, Vol. 49(1) (2008), 277-283.
- [5] V. K. Bhat, Decomposability of extension rings, *Albanian J. Math.*, Vol. 2(4) (2008), 283-291.
- [6] C. Faith, Associated primes in commutative polynomial rings, *Comm. Algebra*, Vol. 28 (2000), 3983-3986.
- [7] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings*, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1989.
- [8] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T.K.Kwak, Ore-extensions of baer and p.p.-rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, Vol. 151(3) (2000), 215-226.
- [9] A. V. Jategaonkar, Skew-Polynomial rings over order in artinian rings, *J. Algebra*, Vol. 21 (1972), 51-59.
- [10] J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings. *Algebra Colloq.*, Vol. 3(4) (1996), 289-300.
- [11] T. K. Kwak, Prime radicals of skew-polynomial rings, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 2(2) (2003), 219-227.
- [12] G. Marks, On 2-primal Ore extensions, *Comm. Algebra*, Vol. 29 (5) (2001), 2113-2123.
- [13] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, (Wiley 1987; revised edition: American Math. Society 2001).
- [14] H. Nordstrom, Associated primes over Ore extensions, *J. Algebra*, Vol. 286(1) (2005), 69-75.